IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
Notice of Motion No.4470 of 2006
In
Appeal Lodging No.1032 of 2006
In
Notice of Motion No.3604 of 2006
In
Suit No.2165 of 2005

Kiduja Industries Limited. .. Appellant

Vs.

Shri Surendra Laxman Raval & Ors. .. Respondents

--

Shri Dipen Merchant i/b S.Ashwinikumar & Co. for the Appellant.

Shri Shailesh Naidu i/b C.R.Naidu for Respondent Nos.1 and 6.

Shri S.V.Kadam i/b Kadam & Co. for Respondent Nos.2 to 5.

Shri Sandeep Parikh i/b India Law Alliance for the Applicant.

--

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ

DATED : 17TH JANUARY, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard. We have also perused the order dated 16th October, 2006 which is sought to be challenged in the Appeal. In fact, the impugned order protects the interest of all the parties in the proceedings at an

interim stage, and therefore, there is no case made out for interference in the said order much less for condonation of delay in filing the appeal seeking to challenge the said order. However, parties are at liberty to move before the learned Single Judge for necessary modification of the order for justifiable cause, if any.

2. With these observations, the Notice of Motion is disposed of.

(R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, J)

(DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J)